

Effects of Qigong, Tai Chi, acupuncture, and Tuina on cancer-related fatigue for breast cancer patients

A protocol of systematic review and meta-analysis

Xue Li, MS^a, Xueqian Wang, MD^a, Lijun Song, MD^a, Jiayue Tian, MS^b, Xuejiao Ma, MS^b, Qiyuan Mao, MS^b, Hongsheng Lin, MD^{a,*}, Ying Zhang, MD^a

Abstract

Backgrounds: Cancer-related fatigue (CRF) is one of the most common and disabling outcomes in patients with breast cancer (BC). Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) nonpharmacological interventions are becoming increasingly popular for cancer treatment and rehabilitation interventions. However, their efficacy and safety remain unclear and there is no systematic review or meta-analysis focusing fully on this issue. We aim to evaluate the effects of representative TCM nonpharmacological interventions, including Qigong, Tai Chi, acupuncture, and Tuina, on CRF in BC patients.

Methods: Published randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that assessed the efficacy of these interventions on CRF for BC patients will be included. We will search from the following electronic databases: PubMed, Cochrane Library, EMBASE, MEDLINE, Web of Science, Scopus, PsycINFO, PSYINDEX, CINAHL, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), WanFang Database, and Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM). The primary outcomes are the improvement of CRF, which will be evaluated by the Piper Fatigue Scale (PFS), the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy (FACT)-Fatigue Scale, Schwartz Cancer Fatigue Scale (SCFS), the Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory (MFI). The secondary outcomes are quality of life and safety. The meta-analysis will be performed using RevMan ver 5.3(Cochrane) statistical software.

Results: We will provide more practical results investigating the efficacy of Qigong, Tai Chi, acupuncture, Tuina for BC patients with CRF from several respects including the improvement of fatigue, quality of life, and safety.

Conclusions: This review will generate more stronger evidence in BC patients for TCM nonpharmacological interventions, including Qigong, Tai Chi, acupuncture, Tuina, in the treatment of CRF and help to inform clinicians and policymakers.

Ethics dissemination: Ethical approval is not necessary because all of the study base in our review will be based on published research. We will submit our results to a peer-reviewed journal.

Study registration number: The study is priorly registered through International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-analysis Protocol on October 2, 2020 (INPLASY 2020100003)

Abbreviations: BC = breast cancer, CI = confidence interval, CRF = cancer-related fatigue, RCTs = randomized controlled trials, TCM = traditional Chinese medicine.

Keywords: acupuncture, breast cancer, cancer-related fatigue, meta-analysis, protocol, Qigong, systematic review, Tai Chi, traditional Chinese medicine nonpharmacological interventions, Tuina

* Correspondence: Hongsheng Lin, Department of Oncology, Guang'anmen Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Xicheng District, Beijing 100053, China (e-mail: drlinhongsheng@163.com).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

XL and XQW contributed equally to this work and are co-first authors.

This study is supported by the Special Fund for TCM (201307006).

The funders had no role in the design, execution, or writing of the study.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

^a Department of Oncology, Guang'anmen Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, ^b School of Graduates, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Li X, Wang X, Song L, Tian J, Ma X, Mao Q, Lin H, Zhang Y. Effects of Qigong, Tai Chi, acupuncture, and Tuina on cancer-related fatigue for breast cancer patients: A protocol of systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine 2020;99:45(e23016).

Received: 2 October 2020 / Accepted: 5 October 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000023016

1. Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is the most common cancer among women worldwide. Fortunately, despite the increasing incidence of BC, development of cancer diagnosis and treatment technology have made year of survival extended significantly.^[1] For breast cancer survivors, symptom management and rehabilitation are important. Roorda study suggests that BC patients generally use of rehabilitation interventions are greater than age-matched controls.^[2] Cancer-related fatigue (CRF) is one of the most common and disabling outcomes reported by BC survivors during and after treatment.^[3] More than 80% of BC patients with standardized treatment have fatigue.^[4] The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) defines CRF as a distressing, persistent, subjective sense of physical, emotional, and/or cognitive tiredness or exhaustion related to cancer or cancer treatment that is not proportional to recent activity and interferes with usual functioning.^[5] CRF is exacerbated by higher rates of depression,^[6,7] sleep disturbance,^[8,9] and pain,^[6,10] it is highly bothersome, interferes with daily activities, and limiting overall quality of life. Besides, CRF can persist for up to 10 years after end of treatment.^[11] It is increasingly demanding to improve quality of life.

At present, common prevention and treatment agents for CRF includes psychostimulants, corticosteroids, and erythropoietin. However, they are not extensively used in clinical because of their potential serious adverse effects and insignificant efficacy. Other pharmacologic interventions remain investigational, and some have even been identified as ineffective.^[5] Hence, there is growing interest in nonpharmacological interventions that are safe and few side effects. Some clinical studies have shown that non-pharmacological interventions, such as exercise,^[12,13] nutrition therapy,^[14,15] and psychological interventions^[16,17] are effective in relieving fatigue for BC patients. The Oncology Nursing Society considers nonpharmacological interventions as a promising intervention for CRF,^[18] and similar recommendations have been made by the NCCN.^[5] Under the circumstance, non-pharmacological interventions has been gaining more attention in CRF treatment for BC patients around the world.

In China, TCM nonpharmacological interventions have been widely applied in management of fatigue. The most commonly used and internationally recognized methods include Qigong, Tai Chi, acupuncture, and Tuina.^[19–21] According to the TCM theory, CRF is one kind of consumptive disease due to disorder of viscera function and insufficiency of Qi and blood, Yin and Yang. Qigong, Tai Chi, acupuncture, and Tuina have the function of regulating visceral function, reinforcing Qi and activating blood, and restoring the balance of Yin and Yang. In addition, numerous clinical reports from various sources have shown that Qigong,^[22] Tai Chi,^[23] acupuncture,^[24] and Tuina^[25] are effective for CRF. They can also alleviate depression,^[26] sleep disturbance,^[27] and pain.^[28] And these interventions are less likely to have side effects.

Previous studies have proved the validity of TCM nonpharmacological interventions on fatigue.^[29–31] But there is no consensus on CRF in breast cancer. Though some researches applying Qigong, Tai Chi, acupuncture, and Tuina in BC patients with CRF have described positive results, others have reported mixed evidence.^[32] Hence, our research team is planning a systematic review and meta-analysis investigating the efficacy of CRF managements through representative TCM nonpharmacological interventions, including Qigong, Tai Chi, acupuncture, and Tuina, to identify the treatment with the better efficacy for practical consideration for BC patients.

2. Methods

2.1. Eligibility criteria

2.1.1. Types of studies. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) will be included without restriction of publication type or language. Studies should be available in full papers and peer-reviewed.

2.1.2. Types of participants. Breast cancer patients suffering from CRF with the following conditions will be included: firstly, age, sex, race, education status, and types of treatment are not restricted. Secondly, definite pathological diagnosis of breast cancer without restrictions related to type and stage. And finally, breast cancer patients should conform to the diagnosis standards of CRF, which based on International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th revision (ICD-10).

2.1.3. Types of interventions and comparators. Approaches including Qigong, Tai Chi, acupuncture, and Tuina alone or in combination, will be reviewed. The control group could be placebo, blank control, standard care, and other body-based practices such as exercise techniques, yoga.

2.1.4. Types of outcome measures

2.1.4.1. Primary outcomes. The primary outcomes are certain common scales which reflect fatigue severity. We considered the following scales: Piper Fatigue Scale (PFS); the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy (FACT)-Fatigue Scale; Schwartz Cancer Fatigue Scale (SCFS); the Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory (MFI).

2.1.4.2. Secondary outcomes. The secondary outcome measures are any quality of life, adverse events.

2.2. Information sources and search strategy

2.2.1. Electronic searches. RCTS are being searched in the following electronic databases without language and publication date restrictions: PubMed, Cochrane Library, EMBASE, MED-LINE, Web of Science, Scopus, PsycINFO, PSYINDEX, CINAHL, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), WanFang Database, and Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM) until March 2020. Search terms are related to breast cancer, cancer related fatigue, Qigong, Tai Chi, acupuncture, and Tuina. The details of the PubMed database search strategy are shown in Table 1, and similar search strategies will be adopted for other databases.

2.2.2. Searching other resources. Manual searches will include reviewing reference lists of identified studies, relevant reviews, meta-analyses, and journals that have published the most relevant research articles or reviews. Meanwhile, grey literature will be searched. The ongoing RCTS will be searched the WHO International Clinical Trial Registry Platform (ICTRP) and its Registry Network, and we will contact corresponding authors to identify extra studies if necessary.

2.3. Study selection

First of all, the titles and abstracts of researches will be independently examined by 2 review authors to make a

Table	1
Search	strategy for PubMed.
No.	Search items
#1	Breast Carcinoma[Mesh Terms]
#2	breast neoplasms[Title/Abstract]
#3	breast carcinoma[Title/Abstract]
#4	breast carcinomas[Title/Abstract]
#5	breast neoplasms[Title/Abstract]
#6	breast tumor[Title/Abstract]
#7	breast tumour[Title/Abstract]
#8	breast cancer[Title/Abstract]
#9	breast cancers[Title/Abstract]
#10	#1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4 OR #5 OR #6 OR #7 #8 OR #9
#11	fatigue[Mesh Terms]
#12	cancer-related fatigue[Mesh Terms]
#13	fatigue[Title/Abstract]
#14	cancer-related fatigue[Title/Abstract
#15	#11 OR #12 OR #13 OR #14
#16	Qigong[Mesh Terms]
#17	qigong[Title/Abstract]
#18	Baduanjin[Title/Abstract]
#19	Wuqinxi[Title/Abstract]
#20	Tai Chi[Mesh Terms]
#21	Tai Chi[Title/Abstract]
#22	Taiji[Title/Abstract]
#23	Taijiquan[Title/Abstract]
#24	Tai Chi Chuan [Title/Abstract]
#25	Acupuncture[Mesh Terms]
#26	Acupressure[Mesh Terms]
#27	acupuncture[litle/Abstract]
#28	acupressure[litle/Abstract]
#29	acupoint[litle/Abstract]
#30	moxibustion[little/Abstract]
#31	Iuna[Mesh Terms]
#32	Massage[Ittle/Abstract]
#33	tuina[Title/Abstract]
#34	massage[Ittle/Abstract]
#35	#16 UK #17 UK #18 UK #19 UK #20 UK #21 UK #22 UK #23 UK #24
	UR #25 UR #26 UR #27 UR #28 UR #29 UR #30 UR #31 UR #32
100	UK #34 UK #35
#30 #97	randomized controlled that [Publication Type]
#31 #20	randomizad [Titla/Abstract]
#30 #20	randemly [Title/Abstract]
π39 #/0	ranuonny [nuσ/Αυστασι] trial [Title/Δhetract]
π+0 #/1	aroune [Title/Abstract]
π41 #/12	yroups [mid/Austract] nlaceho∏itle/Abstract]
#43	#36 OR #37 OR #38 OR #39 OR #/10 OR #/11 OR #/2
#44	#10 AND #15 AND #35 AND #43

preliminary selection of potential trails according to our predetermined eligibility criteria. Second, full text of all preliminary selective trials will be downloaded to make sure eligible trials. The unclear information or missing data will be replenished by contacting with the authors. Discrepancies between 2 reviewers will be resolved through discussion or be arbitrated of a third reviewer. A Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flow chart (Fig. 1) will be used to describe the entire selection process.

2.4. Date extraction

Information from eligible RCTs will be extracted independently by 2 authors. Extracted information will include: published materials: title, authors, publication date, country, study design, type of control, the number of study groups, and center; the population: sample size (treatment/control), age, sex, race ratio; the intervention: duration, frequency, intensity; outcomes and others: scale tools, evaluation time, outcome details, adverse events and complications, quality of life. Disagreements will be resolved through team discussion.

2.5. Risk of bias assessment

Two reviewers will independently assess the risk of study bias using the Cochrane Collaboration tool, which consists of the following 6 items: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, participant and personnel blinding, outcome assessment blinding, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other source of bias. The quality of the reporting will be categorized into 3 levels: low, unclear, and high risk of bias. Any disagreement will be decided by 3rd reviewer.

2.6. Dealing with missing data

As for the lacking data, insufficient or vague, we will contact the corresponding authors by email or telephone to obtain missing information. If fail, we will only conduct analysis based on available data and potential impact of the incomplete data will be analyzed and reported in the summary results.

2.7. Data synthesis and analysis

Meta-analysis will be performed using RevMan ver 5.3 (Cochrane, Oxford, England) statistical software. Relative risk (RR) will be used when the result is dichotomous variables and 95% confidence intervals (CI). For continuous variables, we will use standardized mean difference (SMD) and 95% CI. Chi-squared test and I^2 statistic will be used to confirm the heterogeneity. The former checks for heterogeneity, while the latter reflects the degree of heterogeneity through a specific value. I^2 of 25%, 50%, and 75%, respectively, indicated low, medium, and high heterogeneity. If I^2 is >50%, there is considerable heterogeneity between studies, so a subgroup analysis will be performed to investigate the potential causes.

2.8. Measures for publication bias

When included studies are >10, funnel plot will be used to identify the publication bias. Begg and Egger tests will be utilized to evaluate funnel plot symmetry.

2.9. Evaluation of the level of evidence

Two reviewers will independently evaluate the level of evidence for outcomes according to the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE). There are 4 possible levels: very low, low, moderate, or high. The level of evidence is determined by the seriousness of 5 factors: study limitations, inconsistency, imprecision, indirectness, and publication bias.

2.10. Subgroup analysis

Considering significant heterogeneity, we plan to carry out a subgroup analysis. The following items will be considered: age, and race of patients, types and stage of breast cancer, course of the intervention.



2.11. Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis will be conducted to eliminate the efficacy of low quality studies, provided there is significant heterogeneity after robust subgroup analysis. The meta-analysis will be repeated after low-quality studies are removed. We will compare the results of the 2 meta-analyses and then decide whether to exclude low-quality studies based on evidence strength, sample size, and influence on the pooled estimate. Nonetheless, sensitivity analysis will not be performed if there is a high risk of bias in all included studies.

3. Discussion

Due to their efficacy and few adverse effects, TCM nonpharmacological interventions have been widely recognized and used in terms of improving the quality of life and symptoms of cancer patients. For breast cancer patients, fatigue, as a most common and bothersome symptom, frequently cooccurs with other symptoms. Consequently, it is especially crucial to determine the effective treatments with few adverse effects that can improve fatigue in breast cancer patients. TCM nonpharmacological interventions have great potential in this respect.

Now, some possible biological mechanisms for Tai chi, Qigong, acupuncture, and Tuina of breast cancer related fatigue have been suggested, such as a decrease of inflammatory cytokines,^[33] an increase of T-lymphocytes^[34] and betaendorphins,^[35] and improving immune function.^[36] But clinical evidence-based researches of these interventions are limited. In order to provide more objective evidence of Tai chi, Qigong, acupuncture, and Tuina for breast cancer related fatigue, a high-quality systematic review and meta-analysis is necessary. There are some limitations to this study. First, we have developed strict inclusion criteria to ensure the quality of research. However, it may lead to limited number of studies. Second, we will only include studies published in English or Chinese due to the language barrier, which might cause publication bias to some extent. Finally, we only evaluated the most widely used and representative TCM nonpharmacological interventions, which may have some limitations in proving the efficacy of TCM nonpharmacological intervention for breast cancer related fatigue. In spite of these limitations, this study will not only help establish a better approach to prevent and treat CRF in breast cancer patients, but also might have the potential to improve quality of life for breast cancer patients worldwide.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Xue Li, Xueqian Wang.

Data curation: Lijun Song, Jiayue Tian.

Investigation: Xuejiao Ma, Qiyuan Mao.

Methodology: Lijun Song, Jiayue Tian, Qiyuan Mao.

Supervision: Ying Zhang, Hongsheng Lin.

Writing - original draft: Xue Li, Xueqian Wang.

Writing – review & editing: Xue Li.

References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin 2019;69:7–34.
- [2] Roorda C, Berendsen AJ, Groenhof F, et al. Increased primary healthcare utilisation among women with a history of breast cancer. Support Care Cancer 2013;21:941–9.
- [3] Jones JM, Olson K, Catton P, et al. Cancer-related fatigue and associated disability in post-treatment cancer survivors. J Cancer Surviv 2016;10:51–61.
- [4] Janz NK, Mujahid M, Chung LK, et al. Symptom experience and quality of life of women following breast cancer treatment. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2007;16:1348–61.
- [5] Network N. NCCN NCCNCancer-related fatigue. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines In Oncology (NCCN Guidelines). Version 1. 2020 ed 2020;NCCN National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 1–64.
- [6] Kelly DL, Yang GS, Starkweather AR, et al. Relationships among fatigue, anxiety, depression, and pain and health-promoting lifestyle behaviors in women with early-stage breast cancer. Cancer Nurs 2020;43:134–46.
- [7] Calderon C, Carmona-Bayonas A, Hernández R, et al. Effects of pessimism, depression, fatigue, and pain on functional health-related quality of life in patients with resected non-advanced breast cancer. Breast 2019;44:108–12.
- [8] Fox RS, Ancoli-Israel S, Roesch SC, et al. Sleep disturbance and cancerrelated fatigue symptom cluster in breast cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy. Support Care Cancer 2020;28:845–55.
- [9] Charalambous A, Berger AM, Matthews E, et al. Cancer-related fatigue and sleep deficiency in cancer care continuum: concepts, assessment, clusters, and management. Support Care Cancer 2019;27:2747–53.
- [10] Smith TG, Troeschel AN, Castro KM, et al. Perceptions of patients with breast and colon cancer of the management of cancer-related pain, fatigue, and emotional distress in community oncology. J Clin Oncol 2019;37:1666–76.
- [11] Butt Z, Rosenbloom SK, Abernethy AP, et al. Fatigue is the most important symptom for advanced cancer patients who have had chemotherapy. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2008;6:448–55.
- [12] van Vulpen JK, Peeters PH, Velthuis MJ, et al. Effects of physical exercise during adjuvant breast cancer treatment on physical and psychosocial dimensions of cancer-related fatigue: a meta-analysis. Maturitas 2016;85:104–11.
- [13] van Waart H, Stuiver MM, van Harten WH, et al. Effect of low-intensity physical activity and moderate- to high-intensity physical exercise during adjuvant chemotherapy on physical fitness, fatigue, and chemotherapy completion rates: results of the PACES Randomized Clinical Trial. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:1918–27.

- [14] Stobäus N, Müller MJ, Küpferling S, et al. Low recent protein intake predicts cancer-related fatigue and increased mortality in patients with advanced tumor disease undergoing chemotherapy. Nutr Cancer 2015;67:818–24.
- [15] Baguley BJ, Skinner TL, Wright ORL. Nutrition therapy for the management of cancer-related fatigue and quality of life: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Nutr 2019;122:527–41.
- [16] Carlson LE, Tamagawa R, Stephen J, et al. Randomized-controlled trial of mindfulness-based cancer recovery versus supportive expressive group therapy among distressed breast cancer survivors (MINDSET): longterm follow-up results. Psychooncology 2016;25:750–9.
- [17] Abrahams HJG, Gielissen MFM, Verhagen CAHHVM, et al. The relationship of fatigue in breast cancer survivors with quality of life and factors to address in psychological interventions: a systematic review. Clin Psychol Rev 2018;63:1.
- [18] Mitchell SA, Hoffman AJ, Clark JC, et al. Putting evidence into practice: an update of evidence-based interventions for cancer-related fatigue during and following treatment. Clin J Oncol Nurs 2014;18(suppl):38– 58.
- [19] Su Y, Yuki M, Otsuki M. Non-pharmacological interventions for poststroke fatigue: systematic review and network meta-analysis. J Clin Med 2020;9:621–34.
- [20] Xiang Y, Lu L, Chen X, et al. Does Tai Chi relieve fatigue? A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. PLoS One 2017;12:1–22.
- [21] Chan JS, Ho RT, Chung KF, et al. Qigong exercise alleviates fatigue, anxiety, and depressive symptoms, improves sleep quality, and shortens sleep latency in persons with chronic fatigue syndrome-like illness. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2014;2014:1–1.
- [22] Osypiuk K, Ligibel J, Giobbie-Hurder A, et al. Qigong mind-body exercise as a biopsychosocial therapy for persistent post-surgical pain in breast cancer: a pilot study. Integr Cancer Ther 2020;19:1–12.
- [23] Irwin MR, Olmstead R, Carrillo C, et al. Tai Chi Chih compared with cognitive behavioral therapy for the treatment of insomnia in survivors of breast cancer: a randomized, partially blinded, noninferiority trial. J Clin Oncol 2017;35:2656–65.
- [24] Garland SN, Xie SX, DuHamel K, et al. Acupuncture versus cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia in cancer survivors: a randomized clinical trial. J Natl Cancer Inst 2019;111:1323–31.
- [25] Kinkead B, Schettler PJ, Larson ER, et al. Massage therapy decreases cancer-related fatigue: results from a randomized early phase trial. Cancer 2018;124:546–54.
- [26] So WWY, Cai S, Yau SY, et al. The neurophysiological and psychological mechanisms of Qigong as a treatment for depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Psychiatry 2019;10:820–32.
- [27] Zeng Y, Xie X, Cheng ASK. Qigong or Tai Chi in cancer care: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Curr Oncol Rep 2019;21:48–53.
- [28] Wayne PM, Lee MS, Novakowski J, et al. Tai Chi and Qigong for cancerrelated symptoms and quality of life: a systematic review and metaanalysis. J Cancer Surviv 2018;12:256–67.
- [29] Wang T, Xu C, Pan K, et al. Acupuncture and moxibustion for chronic fatigue syndrome in traditional Chinese medicine: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Complement Altern Med 2017;17:163–73.
- [30] Kim DY, Lee JS, Park SY, et al. Systematic review of randomized controlled trials for chronic fatigue syndrome/myalgic encephalomyelitis (CFS/ME). J Transl Med 2020;18:7–18.
- [31] Wu LL, Lin ZK, Weng HD, et al. Effectiveness of meditative movement on COPD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2018;13:1239–50.
- [32] Dilaveri CA, Croghan IT, Mallory MJ, et al. Massage compared with massage plus acupuncture for breast cancer patients undergoing reconstructive surgery. J Altern Complement Med 2020;26:602–9.
- [33] Oh B, Butow P, Mullan B, et al. Impact of medical Qigong on quality of life, fatigue, mood and inflammation in cancer patients: a randomized controlled trial. Ann Oncol 2010;21:608–14.
- [34] Stone JA, Johnstone PA. Mechanisms of action for acupuncture in the oncology setting. Curr Treat Options Oncol 2010;11:118–27.
- [35] Khan S, Evans AA, Hughes S, et al. Beta-endorphin decreases fatigue and increases glucose uptake independently in normal and dystrophic mice. Muscle Nerve 2005;31:481–6.
- [36] Zeng Y, Luo T, Xie H, et al. Health benefits of qigong or tai chi for cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analyses. Complement Ther Med 2014;22:173–86.